

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
11/21/2003	Steven R. Sedlmayr	AUO1013	3584		
06/27/2005	EXAM	EXAMINER			
Law Office of Roxana H. Yang			FINEMAN, LEE A		
	APTINIT	PAPER NUMBER			
23			TATER NOMBER		
			e .		
	11/21/2003	11/21/2003 Steven R. Sedlmayr 06/27/2005 ana H. Yang	11/21/2003 Steven R. Sedlmayr AUO1013 06/27/2005 EXAM ana H. Yang FINEMAN		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		<u></u>	10/718,938 		SEDLMAYR, STEVEN R.			
5			Examiner		Art Unit			
The 0.6	All INC DATE of this commun		_ee Fineman		2872	Idraca		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE MAILING - Extensions of tin after SIX (6) MO - If the period for r - If NO period for r - Failure to reply v Any reply receive	ED STATUTORY PERIOD F B DATE OF THIS COMMUN ne may be available under the provisions NTHS from the mailing date of this comr eply specified above is less than thirty (3 reply is specified above, the maximum st within the set or extended period for reply ed by the Office later than three months rm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a nunication. s0) days, a reply wit atutory period will a	a). In no event, ithin the statutor apply and will ex use the applicat	however, may a reply be tim y minimum of thirty (30) days pire SIX (6) MONTHS from to ion to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timel the mailing date of this co			
Status								
1)⊠ Respon	sive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>07 Marc</i>	ch 2005.					
2a)⊠ This ac	· ·	2b)∐ This ac		-final.				
3)☐ Since tl								
Disposition of C	laims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 129-131 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 129-131 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Pap	ers							
10)⊠ The dra Applicar Replace	cification is objected to by the wing(s) filed on 21 November of may not request that any objected the or declaration is objected the content of the content	er 2003 is/are: ection to the dra g the correction	awing(s) be l n is required	neld in abeyance. See if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CI	FR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice of Drafts	rences Cited (PTO-892) sperson's Patent Drawing Review (I sclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of ail Date			Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P	te	O-152)		

. -, *

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to an amendment filed 7 March 2005 in which claims 129-131 were amended. Claims 129-131 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 129-131 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Karasawa et al., US 5,200,843 in view of Konno et al., US 4,497,015.

Karasawa et al. disclose a system (fig. 13) or method for displaying a color image projected from a liquid crystal device (fig. 13) which includes means for a first liquid crystal light valve (8G), a second liquid crystal light valve (8B) and a third liquid crystal light valve (8R), comprising [a] means (1) for producing a collimated primary beam of light having a predetermined range of wavelengths, randomly changing orientations of a chosen component of electric field vectors; [b] means (45) for separating the primary beam of light into two or more primary color beams of light, each of the primary color beams having the same selected predetermined orientation of a chosen component of electric field vectors (from 44, column 1, lines 17-21) as that of the other primary color beams; [c] means (46) for forming the optical light paths between the light source (1) and the three liquid crystal light valves (8G, 8B, 8R) which are unequal in length and based on luminous intensity of the primary colors associated

Art Unit: 2872

with respective light valve produced by the light source (fig. 13); [d] means (8G, 8B, 8R) for altering the selected predetermined orientation of the chosen component of the electric field vectors of a plurality of portions of each of the separate primary color beams of light by passing each of the separate primary color beams of light through a respective one of the liquid crystal light valves in a single direction (fig. 13) whereby the selected predetermined orientation of the chosen component of the electric field vectors of the plurality of portions of each of the separate primary color beams of light is altered in response to a stimulus means by applying a signal means to the stimulus means in a predetermined manner as each of the separate primary color beams of light passes through the respective one of the liquid crystal light valves altering the selected predetermined orientation of the chosen component of the electric field vectors (column 1, lines 31-33); [e] means (47) for combining the altered separate primary color beams of light into a single collinear beam of light without substantially changing the altered selected predetermined orientation of the chosen component of the electric field vectors of the plurality of portions of each of the separate beams of light; [f] means (48) for resolving; and [g] means (49) for passing at least one of the resolved beams (S) to a projection means (13), the projection means receiving only light having substantially the same selected predetermined orientation of the chosen component of the electric field vectors (S). Karasawa et al. disclose the claimed invention except for the collimated primary beam having a substantially uniform flux intensity substantially across the initial beam of light and a rectangular cross sectional area and having [f] means for resolving from the single collinear beam a first resolved beam having substantially a first selected predetermined orientation of a chosen component of electromagnetic wave field vectors and a second resolved beam having substantially a second selected predetermined

Art Unit: 2872

orientation of a chosen component of electromagnetic wave field vectors, whereby the first and second selected predetermined orientation of the chosen component of the electromagnetic wave field vectors are different from one another. However Karasawa et al. also teaches that when using a polarizing beam splitter like element 2 (which resolves from the single collinear beam of electromagnetic energy/light a first resolved beam of electromagnetic energy/light having substantially a first selected predetermined orientation of a chosen component of electromagnetic wave field vectors and a second resolved beam of electromagnetic energy/light having substantially a second selected predetermined orientation of a chosen component of electromagnetic wave field vectors, whereby the first and second selected predetermined orientation of the chosen component of the electromagnetic wave field vectors are different from one another, see figs. 2 and 3), an absorption type polarizer like 14 is not required (see column 5, lines 49-52) and that absorption type polarizers generate higher temperatures which can cause stability problems in the system (see column 1, lines 54-61). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the analyzing absorption type polarizer (48) with a polarizing beam splitter to further reduce the heat in the system. Therefore, step [f] is satisfied. Konno et al. disclose a light illumination device (fig. 5) which produces a primary beam (at M) which is collimated and has a substantially uniform flux intensity substantially across the initial beam of light (column 5, lines 43-52) and has a rectangular cross sectional area (using lens element 102, fig. 3; column 3, lines 5-8). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the light source of Karasawa et al. with that of Konno et al. to have a more uniform

intensity light beam and provide a more consistent image. The method of utilizing the structure of the claim is inherent therein.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 129-131 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Yajima et al., US 4,909,601 discloses a projection system with unequal path lengths.
- 5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2872

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lee Fineman whose telephone number is (571) 272-2313. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:30 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-2312. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LAF

June 14, 2005

MARK A. ROBINSON PRIMARY EXAMINER